



Fiscal Year 2022 Military Construction Appropriations Act

*Summary of House Appropriations Committee's
Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Bill for
Fiscal Year 2022*



As of 06 July 2021

Bill Status

	Passed in Committee	Passed in Chamber	Agreement	Final Passage	Signed into Law
House	June 30, 2021	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Senate	N/A	N/A		N/A	

Executive Summary

This guide provides only a short summary and analysis of the many provisions in the bill. To obtain a complete understanding of any particular provision, users are encouraged to review the actual legislative language. The bill and accompanying report are available on the NGB-LL web page at www.nationalguard.mil/ll.

Summary:

On June 30th 2021, the House Appropriations Committee passed the FY22 Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Bill. In total, the legislation would provide \$279.9 billion in funding, the bill is \$28 billion above the fiscal year 2021 enacted level and \$1.2 billion above the budget request. Of this, \$335 million is provided for Army National Guard military construction and \$197 million for Air National Guard military construction.



Army National Guard

(All Dollars in Thousands)

Army National Guard	FY22 President's Budget Request	HAC-M Mark	Delta from FY22 Request	SAC-M Mark	Delta From FY22 Request	Final Bill	Delta From FY21 Request
MILCON Total	\$257,103	\$335,603	\$78,500				
Minor Construction	\$39,471	\$52,471	\$13,000				
Planning & Design	\$22,000	\$72,000	\$50,000				



Air National Guard

(All Dollars in Thousands)

Air National Guard	FY22 President's Budget Request	HAC-M Mark	Delta from FY22 Request	SAC-M Mark	Delta From FY22 Request	Final Bill	Delta From FY22 Request
MILCON Total	\$197,770	\$246,770	\$49,000				
Minor Construction	\$29,068	\$44,068	\$15,000				
Planning & Design	\$18,402	\$28,402	\$10,000				

House Provisions of Interest

Sec. 129. For an additional amount for the accounts and in the amounts specified for barracks, to remain available until September 30, 2026: 'Military Construction, Army National Guard', \$24,800,000.

Sec. 131. For an additional amount for “Military Construction, Army National Guard”, \$100,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 2026, for construction associated with the Army National Guard Transformation Plan: Provided, That not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the military department concerned, or a duly authorized designee, shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for funds provided under this section.

House Report Language of Interest

Regional Training Institutes. The Committee recognizes the importance of Regional Training Institutes (RTI) across the country for the readiness of Army National Guard (ARNG) and is concerned about the lack of infrastructure to support the mission of the RTIs. For example, the RTI at Fort Hood is lacking sufficient facilities to provide the proper training to achieve optimum readiness. The Committee urges the Department of Defense to prioritize facilities for this important and vital mission of ARNG and Army Reserve.

National Guard Training Center. The Committee recognizes the importance of the National Guard Texas Training Center, which has been in development since 2010 and has had execution delays. The Committee recognizes that the Texas Training Center remains a high priority and the Texas Army National Guard shall continue its efforts to establish the Center.

Readiness Center Transformation Master Plan. The Army National Guard (ARNG) completed its Readiness Center Transformation Master Plan (RCTMP) in 2015; however, since that time, the investment in executing the military construction required under the RCTMP has not kept up with the demand. The Committee is concerned that the mission readiness of these critical facilities is further deteriorating, and it encourages increased investments in ARNG Readiness Centers over the FYDP. Further, given the changing conditions of readiness centers across the country since the last RCTMP was completed, the Committee encourages the ARNG to update the RCTMP to ensure current its military construction priorities align with the Transformation Master Plan. Accordingly, the Committee provides an additional \$50,000,000 in Army National Guard planning & design and \$100,000,000 for construction.

ARNG Aviation Support Facilities. The Committee is concerned that ARNG Aviation Support Facilities (AASF) are not prepared to protect and properly maintain next generation airframe priorities simultaneously as the Army. The operation and maintenance of new aircraft platforms requires significant investment in AASFs across the country so that they can provide the required shelter and support for new airframes, as well as regular maintenance for the existing airframes. The Committee urges both the Army and the ARNG to prioritize facilities that support readiness of ARNG aviation units as they prepare to gain new aircraft platforms.

C130-J Requirements. The Committee is concerned with the state of ANG infrastructure across the country. Specifically, many of the ANG Aviation Support Facilities are not configured to protect and properly maintain the most modernized airframes. As the Air Force continues to select ANG units to receive the most current and modernized airframes, investments must be made in current facilities. The Committee encourages the ANG to utilize unspecified minor military construction, as authorized, to speed up projects across the country and increase the readiness of the ANG.

Aviation Support Facility Relocation (AASF) and Aircraft Maintenance Hangar. The Committee is concerned that there are existing inadequate facilities for Army National Guard aviation support. There are no other DoD aviation facilities available to support the requirement of certain aircraft. If replacement facilities are not constructed to meet maintenance and sustainment requirements it will directly result in the loss and relocation of aircraft and units. These types of facilities directly enable training, administrative, operational, and logistical requirements Army National Guard Army Aviation mission. Therefore, the Committee urges the Director of the National

Guard to provide planning and design funds to these critical projects, that would facilitate the most efficient and least costly solution.

Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) and Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) The Committee continues to be very concerned about the extent of PFOS/PFOA contamination at U.S. military installations and how that contamination is measured. Therefore, the Committee includes an additional \$150,000,000 above the budget request within the Base Closure Account to increase the pace of cleanup at the military installations affected by PFOS/PFOA.

PFOS/PFOA Regulation and Research. The Committee expects the Department to continue working with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on its regulatory initiatives and engaging Federal health agencies, including the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, to ensure that it is using the best and most up-to-date science to guide DOD remediation plans and processes.

Military Sexual Assault. The Committee continues to be aware of the high prevalence of sexual assault, among both men and women, during their service. Effects from this assault persist once servicemembers return home and can manifest in mental health disorders such as depression, PTSD, SUDs, eating disorders, and anxiety. Because sexual trauma is so prevalent, the Committee recommends that all Veterans seeking treatment services are screened for sexual assault using an evidence-based screening tool in order to successfully treat those who have experienced assault.



Army National Guard Project List

(All Dollars in Thousands)

State	ARNG Military Construction Project Description	President's Budget Request	HAC-M Mark	SAC-M Mark	Final Bill
CT	National Guard Readiness Center	\$17,500	\$17,500	N/A	N/A
GA	Enlisted Personnel Unaccompanied Personnel Housing	\$13,200	\$13,200	N/A	N/A
ID	National Guard Readiness Center	\$15,000	\$15,000	N/A	N/A
IL	NG Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$15,000	\$15,000	N/A	N/A
KS	NG/Reserve Center	\$16,732	\$16,732	N/A	N/A
LA	National Guard Readiness Center	\$18,500	\$18,500	N/A	N/A
ME	NG Vehicle Maintenance Shop	\$21,200	\$21,200	N/A	N/A
MT	National Guard Readiness Center	\$16,000	\$16,000	N/A	N/A
ND	National Guard Readiness Center	\$15,500	\$15,500	N/A	N/A
VA	Combined Support Maintenance Shop (CSMS) Addition	\$6,900	\$6,100	N/A	N/A
VA	National Guard Readiness Center Addition	\$6,100	\$6,900	N/A	N/A
GU	National Guard Readiness Center Addition	\$34,000	\$34,000	N/A	N/A
MS ¹	Mobilization and Annual Training Equipment Site (MATES) Project	--	\$15,500	N/A	N/A

1. Rep. Palazzo (R-MS-4) requested an earmark for Camp Shelby Joint Forces Training center in the amount of \$15.5M for a Mobilization and Annual Training Equipment site (MATES) project.



Air National Guard Project List

(All Dollars in Thousands)

State	ANG Military Construction Project Description	President's Budget Request	HAC-M Mark	SAC-M Mark	Final Bill
MA	Combined Engine/ASE/NDI Shop	\$12,200	\$12,200	N/A	N/A
MI	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar/Shops	\$23,000	\$23,000	N/A	N/A
MI	Construct Main Base Entrance	\$10,000	\$10,000	N/A	N/A
MS	Fire Crash and Rescue Station	\$9,300	\$9,300	N/A	N/A
NY	C-130 Flight Simulator Facility	\$10,800	\$10,800	N/A	N/A
OH	RED HORSE Logistics Complex	\$7,800	\$7,800	N/A	N/A
SC	F-16 Mission Training Center	\$9,800	\$9,800	N/A	N/A
SD	F-16 Mission Training Center	\$9,800	\$9,800	N/A	N/A
WI	Medical Readiness Facility	\$13,200	\$13,200	N/A	N/A
WI	F-35 3-Bay Specialized Hangar	\$31,000	\$31,000	N/A	N/A
WY	Combined Vehicle Maintenance & ASE Complex	\$13,400	\$13,400	N/A	N/A
DE ¹	Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Hangar	--	\$17,500	N/A	N/A
ID ²	Medical Training Facility	--	\$6,500	N/A	N/A

1. Rep. Blunt Rochester (D-DE-At Large) requested an earmark for New Castle County Airport in the amount of \$17.5M for a Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Hangar project.

2. Rep. Simpson (R-ID-2) requested an earmark for Boise Air Terminal (Gowen Field) in the amount of \$6.5M for a Medical Training Facility project.